TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

INDEPENDENT COMMISSION OF INQUIRY INTO QUEENSLAND POLICE SERVICE RESPONSES TO DOMESTIC AND FAMILY VIOLENCE

COMMISSIONER: HER HONOUR JUDGE DEBORAH RICHARDS

COUNSEL ASSISTING: RUTH O'GORMAN QC

ANNA CAPPELLANO

Land Court of Queensland, Brisbane Magistrates Court, Level 8/362 George Street, Brisbane.

Friday, 5 August 2022

COMMISSIONER: 1 Yes. 2 3 MS O'GORMAN: Good morning, Commissioner. I do have a 4 tender bundle to tender, but I need to add a couple of documents into it. So I might do that at the end of 5 6 the evidence this morning. 7 8 COMMISSIONER: Sure. 9 10 MS O'GORMAN: There are three witnesses giving evidence this morning from the Institute for Collaborative Race 11 12 Research, and it's intended that they will all be giving evidence at the one time. Those witnesses are here, and 13 14 we're ready to proceed. So I call Professor Watego, 15 Dr Singh and Dr Strakosch. 16 17 <DAVID SINGH, affirmed:</pre> 18 19 <CHELSEA WATEGO, affirmed:</pre> 20 21 <ELIZABETH STRAKOSCH, affirmed:</pre> 22 <EXAMINATION BY MS O'GORMAN:</pre> 23 24 25 Professor Watego, Dr Singh and Dr Strakosch, MS O'GORMAN: 26 each of you are directors and principal researchers at the 27 Institute for Collaborative Race Research. 28 29 DR STRAKOSCH: That's right. 30 31 MS O'GORMAN: Your submission to the Commission, which was 32 done in collaboration with Sisters Inside, was provided to us on 13 July 2022; that's correct, isn't it? 33 34 35 DR SINGH: Yes. 36 37 MS O'GORMAN: It sets out the purposes and focuses of the 38 institute and the work that it does and that each of you contribute to? 39 40 41 DR STRAKOSCH: Yes. 42 43 MS O'GORMAN: All right. In terms of your own individual

in literature.

44

45

46 47 background, Dr Singh, you're a race scholar with

qualifications in bachelor of arts, master of arts and PhD

DR SINGH: Yes.

MS O'GORMAN: Professor Watego, you are a researcher in the areas of race, racism and Indigenist health humanities; you have qualifications which include a bachelor of applied health science, honours in applied health science and a PhD in public health.

PROF. WATEGO: That is correct.

MS O'GORMAN: And, Dr Strakosch, you have conducted research over a long period of time which has focused on Indigenous policy, colonialism, political relationships, bureaucracy and new public management?

DR STRAKOSCH: That's right.

MS O'GORMAN: Your qualifications include a bachelor of arts, honours in political science and a PhD in political science?

DR STRAKOSCH: Yes.

MS O'GORMAN: Further to receiving the submission from your institute, we have asked that you provide us with an expert report further addressing some of the matters that you raise in your submission. We've also sent you ahead of this morning seven questions that we would ask that you are able to address this morning to help inform the Commission about some of the matters within your particular areas of interest. I'm going to move through those questions in turn, and it's totally a matter for the three of you as to which one of you would like to take the lead in answering any of those questions.

The first question that we have posed to you is: in what ways are the continuing effects of colonisation being experienced by First Nations people?

DR STRAKOSCH: I might respond to this. My area of research has covered comparative colonialisms. So we wanted to, with your indulgence, spend just a few minutes explaining our understanding of colonisation based on the political science and sociological literature because that really informs when we talk about the different relationships between the Queensland Police Service and non-Indigenous people and the Queensland Police Service and

Indigenous people. It's really based on our understanding of colonialism, how that connects to race and the ways in which it's ongoing today.

So what we want to highlight is and what I have looked at in my research is Australia as a settler colony. This is a particular type of colonial environment. There are four English-speaking settler colonies - Australia, New Zealand, Canada and the US - and there's a distinction that's often made between settler colonies and extractive or conventional colonies. So conventional colonies, we might think of India or Africa, where a minority of colonisers from Europe come to exploit the resources and the labour of Indigenous people and their land. Most of those extractive colonies have decolonised structurally after the Second World War.

But there is another type of colonisation that's known as settler colonisation, in which a majority of colonisers come to stay in a place. They come to stay to replace Indigenous people on their land and to establish a new political society and occupy that land. Now, most settler colonies have not decolonised. There has not been a moment of kind of institutional break or reformation so we can meaningfully say that settler colonialism is an ongoing relationship. The questions of jurisdiction, of land ownership are very much live and unresolved.

That is the case in all of the four settler colonies that I talked about. However, Australia has a particular history which gives it a particular inflection especially around race. In the other settler colonies, colonists recognised the political sovereignty and/or landownership of Indigenous people. That meant they proceeded through colonisation - they still colonised. They proceeded by treaties or conquest or other forms of kind of taking political - what they saw as taking political control.

 In Australia, based on a racial assessment of Indigenous people as so inferior that they did not possess either landownership or political sovereignty, Australia was colonised on the basis of settlement; that is, just the wholesale occupation of this place. In the absence of political life here, that remains the legal justification for the Australian State today. Even though landownership has been contested by the High Court and some changes have been made, the High Court has been very clear that it is

not able to make decisions on the question of Indigenous sovereignty because that would potentially fracture the legal skeleton of the Australian State and would call into question its own authority.

So when we talk about colonialism and racism in Australia we mean this in a very real sense, that there is a structural conflict that's ongoing and that the Australian State itself still rests on a legal justification that is based on an assessment of the inferiority of Indigenous people as so savage that they do not have political institutions.

 This, we would suggest, is one of the reasons that it's quite difficult to talk about race in Australia, because it's very much bound up with live political questions, but it's also one of the reasons we talk about the Queensland Police Service as having a particular relationship with Indigenous people, because it has been one of the instruments on the frontline of that process of colonisation and dispossession.

MS O'GORMAN: Can I ask you then --

COMMISSIONER: Sorry, can I just ask one thing from that. When you talk about Queensland Police I take it you mean really it's all police or is it particularly Queensland?

DR STRAKOSCH: I do intend to address that in the second question.

COMMISSIONER: Okay.

DR STRAKOSCH: It is all police, but it is also Queensland Police specifically.

MS O'GORMAN: And that leads me to that question. Are you able then to explain the role of policing more specifically in relation to colonisation?

DR STRAKOSCH: Yes, definitely. So Queensland has a particular colonial history. It's quite intense. It's quite violent. The pearling and the opium industries were particularly violent and caught up with Indigenous people and their exploitation.

The earliest police in Queensland were the Queensland

Native Mounted Police. They were established here in 1864. Henry Reynolds, who is an historian, has called them the most violent organisation in Australian history. Their specific task was to disperse native camps, including mass murder and including sort of the dispossession and moving on of Indigenous people from their land so that it could be occupied by white settlement.

This is not a history that's been disavowed by the contemporary Queensland Police Service. In 1964 at the centenary of the establishment of the QPS a senior police officer said, "Walker [who was the original lieutenant of the Mounted Police] and his Force soon established themselves. He tamed the natives, saved the whites, and made the country comparatively safe."

 "The Native Mounted Police had certain privileges. Its officers could, and frequently did, transfer to the [main] Queensland Police Force without loss of rank. Its officers were chosen from men whose qualifications were supposed to be education, breeding, knowledge of drill and firearms, and ability to handle natives."

This period of frontier violence was burning itself out by about 1910, and native police camps were moving northwards. The native police then started operating much more intensely in the Northern Territory after 1910 where dispossession was still live.

But in Queensland we, if you like, pioneered another regime of racial control here that is very well known historically around the world and that is the protection legislation, the Aboriginal Protection and Sale of Opium Act 1897, which is an extremely draconian set of rules that govern every aspect of Indigenous people's lives, including if they could marry, where they could live, if they could keep their children, where they could work. The money from their work was taken by the government and often not given back. This is the stolen wages case that we talk about. They were overseen by a chief protector in Brisbane but by local protectors in regional areas, and the local protector was usually the police, the leading policeman in the area.

 So that means right up until '50s, '60s in Queensland up until the '80s, because we did not dismantle protection legislation under Joh Bjelke-Petersen fully until the '80s, in fact there is a famous quote from Rowland, who is an

historian, who says in the '80s you could be arrested in Queensland either for committing a crime or for being Aboriginal and you could be removed to any mission.

So what that means is police were often directly involved in catching escapees from missions, they were directly involved in removing children from their families, and enforcing people to go to work in often very violent and sexually violent situations.

So one other thing we would just like to mention quite quickly is that there's a particularly history of sexual violence in relation to Indigenous women all over Australia. It's quite intense in Queensland and there's a lot of documentation from here about that. Mass sexual abuse of women, rape and murder was very common on the frontier, including capturing Aboriginal women and taking them to stations. The police were often complicit in that. The police didn't act. There's a great deal of evidence for that. In several cases police were accused and/or prosecuted for killing Aboriginal women but they were never convicted. It was seen as an accident or there was assumption of the good intent of these police officers.

So when we say that there is a particular relationship between the Queensland Police Service and Indigenous people we mean it in a very tangible sense. We mean it's ongoing, it's structural, and racism is foundational to it. The way that the Queensland Police Service polices for settlers is by content, but the way it has policed Indigenous people has always been through control, and that is for political purposes as we've outlined here.

MS O'GORMAN: Building on that then, can you explain for us the ways in which the continuing effects of colonisation contribute to one of the matters that we're tasked to look at, which is the over-representation of First Nations people in the criminal legal system today?

PROF. WATEGO: Yes, so I think if we look at the current context, particularly here in the state of Queensland, the violent relationship and the one of control over Indigenous peoples is evidenced in a range of legislative changes --

COMMISSIONER: Can I just interrupt you for a second. So this is Professor Watego speaking now.

PROF. WATEGO: Yes.

COMMISSIONER: If you can just identify yourself just for the record when you speak.

PROF. WATEGO: Sure. So if we look at, for instance, the introduction of the public nuisance charge here in the state of Queensland, which was directed at Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander people in Far North Queensland quite explicitly so, it's no surprise that within one year of its introduction an Aboriginal man dies in custody.

We saw with the more recent youth justice reforms the Queensland Police Service Union were very clear that they were targeting Indigenous children. We've also seen with this conversation around criminalising coercive control we know that Indigenous women are going to be disproportionately affected, yet the State has continued on with the calls to criminalise coercive control. This is despite the evidence put before the Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody, where a recommendation was made to reduce the ways in which Indigenous peoples become incarcerated to prevent deaths in custody. What we've seen is an expansion of police powers despite the evidence base that Indigenous people are disproportionately affected and that relationship is still a very violent one in a very tangible sense.

Last year we were invited to give an expert report to the Queensland Sentencing Advisory Committee to explain the overrepresentation of Indigenous people, and particularly Indigenous women, on assaults against first responders. They didn't understand how that came about, and so we looked at the relationship between first responders, from police to ambulance officers, in its historical context, and we found cases of police-assisted leprosy raids, the nature of relationships of policing on Boundary Street here in the City of Brisbane, to contemporary examples that were on the public record where there had been allegations of assaults against public officers by Indigenous peoples, yet in those cases many were found to have been false claims and in fact the police were the instigators of violence. So we were able to conclude that the overrepresentation may be a result of this violent relationship that the police and Indigenous peoples have always experienced.

I think it's important to recognise that this is just

not some abstract theorising. Any Queensland police officer who has had an engagement with an Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander community for any sustained period of time is aware of the term "triple C". They know what that means, and it's a very succinct articulation of the role of police today in this country, particularly in the state of Queensland.

> DR STRAKOSCH: Yes, so I just wanted to follow up that too by just highlighting the fact that when we talk about colonisation as continuing this is really evidenced in the rising incarceration rates in the last 30 years. So not only since the Royal Commission have they not decreased, as the Commission recommended; they have actually increased. As part of an ARC-funded mapping project which maps Indigenous-settler colonial relationships in Australia what we saw was that at the end of the protection era, around the 60s, when missions and reserves became self-governing communities, that coincided with the establishment of a police station in those communities and we saw people who were effectively previously inmates of other types of carceral systems, of missions and reserves, more and more becoming incarcerated in prisons, so being moved to different types of incarceration, and the police becoming more central rather than less central to the violent relationship of colonisation.

 MS O'GORMAN: Some of the evidence that the Commission has heard to date has included evidence of negative attitudes being held by members of the Queensland Police Service towards the issue of domestic and family violence generally. Are you in a position to offer your views about how those kinds of attitudes would impact upon Indigenous women and girls uniquely?

PROF. WATEGO: Certainly. I think most definitely Indigenous women experience the violent culture of misogyny that this Commission has heard in terms of survivor statements as well as the testimonies from Queensland police officers themselves about not being believed and being belittled. What we argue, though, because of the racialised nature of policing with Indigenous peoples and the intersection of being negatively racialised and gendered that there is a unique form of violence that Indigenous women experience, of which we provided some of those accounts in the joint submission to Sisters Inside.

So how this plays out for Indigenous women is not only are they not believed or they're belittled; what we're seeing is that Indigenous women are cast as perpetrators, as victims of violence, and there was - I think it was a 2017 study looking at deaths - family violence related deaths in the state of Queensland and found that up to 50 per cent of those who had died as a result of family violence had been named as a respondent on a domestic and family violence order.

9 10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

When they looked specifically at Indigenous women, 100 per cent had been named as a respondent prior to their death. So, if you think about it, in the state of Queensland not one Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women who died as a result of family violence was ever seen So we see the denial of victimhood as an innocent victim. of Indigenous women, not just in their encounters with police but even sometimes through the processes, certainly through the Women's Safety Taskforce process, in terms of not believing their accounts and dismissing them. I guess - we really draw attention in our submission to the testimonies of Indigenous women as well as the statistical accounts that confirm what they're saying. The evidence is very clear that Indigenous women experience a unique form of violence.

25 26 27

28

29

30 31

32 33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

I think the other thing we need think about also is if we look at some of those testimonies we have the perceived criminality of Indigenous women weaponised against them as a way to deny them victimhood, and certainly I think Hannah and Samantha's stories in our submission speak to that. What's troubling here is that, if you look at women in prison, over 90 per cent have experienced some form of If we look at Indigenous - look at children in detention, close to 100 per cent have experienced some sort of sexual assault. So at no point in their lifecycle are Indigenous women ever considered victims of violence, are always criminalised, and that's our concern about police responses particularly to Indigenous women as victims of violence, is they're never seen as a victim in need of care or protection, which is one thing, but they're framed as perpetrators and all complicit in the violence that they experience.

43 44 45

46 47 We see it not just in life but also in death. So work that we've undertaken in informing coronial inquiries about missing and murdered Indigenous women, and this is not just unique to Queensland, though we have looked at Queensland Police Service cases, is that even in death Indigenous women are not deemed worthy enough for proper investigation, hence the Senate's announcement of an inquiry into missing and murdered Indigenous women in this country, because the failure of police to properly interrogate what has happened. So even in death Indigenous women are denied victimhood in this country.

DR STRAKOSCH: Just to add on to that quickly, in doing a lot of that research we engage quite extensively with the Canadian inquiry into missing and murdered Indigenous women, girls and gender diverse people, which is generally regarded as a very good inquiry. It spoke to 2,500 witnesses and Indigenous communities, and produced a very voluminous report. So some of the terminology that we use in our submission comes from the findings of that report, which found that the violence that Indigenous women experience firstly can't be reduced to their socioeconomic circumstances. Being Indigenous was enough if you controlled for all other factors. We submit to experience more violence not just in domestic and family situations but also stranger violence.

The reasoning that they gave for why this was the case was that there was a culture of impunity that existed in which violence against Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander women or Indigenous women in Canada was not seen as sufficiently problematic that it warranted proper investigation by the police. There were many stories of families of Indigenous women going to police asking for help, to be told, "No, she's probably drunk. probably a sex worker. Come back in three weeks." these families were left alone. That's not just a harm that's done to those particular families. What they found was this creates a culture within which people can perpetrate violence, including domestic and family violence, against Indigenous women and know that they're safe, and that is the fundamental reason that Indigenous people experience domestic and family violence in the way that they do.

 MS O'GORMAN: From your point of view then is there scope for meaningful improvement in relation to police responses to domestic and family violence, particularly in First Nations communities, either by better training for police or some other measures?

DR SINGH: I'm Dr David Singh. I'll take that question, if I may. Inquiries such as this and many others often introduce at their conclusion a raft of recommendations. Most - they often are unevenly applied, implemented, and those that are implemented rarely exist beyond two, three years because there's not been sustainable funding for their continuance. Initiatives such as training that encompass race and gender are normally the first to go in any cost-cutting exercise. They're rarely ring-fenced in any kind of austerity push on the part of local councils and NGO sectors.

Training itself, I'm originally from London, I've worked with the Metropolitan Police in developing joint training with local divisions, and this has had mixed results. On the one hand, senior police officers embrace the training. On the other hand, rank and file push back, to the extent that the training didn't last beyond one or two years before it was called into question.

In the aftermath of the Macpherson inquiry into the murder of Stephen Lawrence we saw that the police throughout the country accepted the definition of institutional racism, accepted that they were institutionally racist, and set in place plans to address that institutional racism. Within about three years they declared themselves no longer to be institutionally racist and therefore in no need of remedial action.

From the point of view of community, certainly those that I worked with in West and East London, they didn't really want the promise of better professionalisation, they wanted more police accountability, and that's certainly not what they got through the various recommendations of the various inquiries that they all sat patiently through.

So I would argue that there is a kind of negligible impact that attempts to better professionalise the police. On the one hand, training is put in place, but on the other there has invariably been an increase in police powers, oversaturation of policing in marginal areas. We've had certainly here in Queensland Facebook groups where racist, homophobic and sexist comments are traded freely without censure. There is a particular canteen culture where this training simply doesn't permeate or kind of advance police understanding in any sustained way. So I would question

the value of training overall, having been personally involved in co-designing training for the largest police force in the world.

PROF. WATEGO: If I may add, I think if we look to the Royal Commission into Aboriginal Deaths in Custody, where things like cultural awareness training, the engagement of Indigenous police liaison officers and even recruitment of Indigenous police officers, they haven't been effective in reducing deaths in custody, and I think there is a concern that training accessorises the expanding authority of an institution that we know to be violent to Indigenous peoples. If we think about violent relationships, as this inquiry is concerned with, we wouldn't tell a woman to stay with her perpetrator who is abusing her and just give him some better training. So I think if we think about these violent relationships as taking place not just in homes but at the hands of the State, the logic doesn't stack up here.

MS O'GORMAN: You suggest in the draft summary report that you've provided to the Commission that the QPS ought to be defunded and de-authorised in relation to domestic and family violence. The Commission has heard from some individuals and communities about the need for a better policing response, including a greater police presence, in some communities and an increase in police responses in some communities. Would you explain for us how it is that your suggestion to defund and de-authorise the police in relation to domestic and family violence offers the safety that from your point of view Indigenous peoples and communities are seeking?

PROF. WATEGO: I think given we've explained the violent relationship that Indigenous people have with police our - we share a concern about a non-violent approach, a non-violent society. What de-authorising and defunding of police is is an appeal for a non-violent approach to addressing violence, and unfortunately the Queensland police have proven themselves incapable of doing that, as the Women's Safety and Justice Taskforce has heard, as this inquiry has heard.

 It's also recognising that the police have long failed Indigenous peoples and communities, and Indigenous peoples and communities and Indigenous community-controlled organisations have had to find ways to respond to family violence in the absence of police who do not attend or

don't care without the necessary resourcing to do so. So I guess we would argue that this is not really a radical position but rather a responding to the reality of the violent relationship that Indigenous peoples have with police at this point.

DR STRAKOSCH: Just to build on that, while it might seem like there's a contradiction between people talking about the lack of police response to domestic violence and then talking about the over-policing and the criminalisation of Indigenous women, there's actually not a contradiction in our understanding. These under-policing and over-policing of particular types of experiences by Indigenous women all relate to the fact that their status as genuine victims is devalued. So they are over-policed as perpetrators but they're under-policed as victims, and that is part of the violent structure.

So it's not a question then of, well, where there's under-policing, more police will solve that. In fact, people are looking for a response, people are looking for meaningful responses, and in the absence of those are finding their own. When we talk about defunding and de-authorising police, it might sound kind of confronting. but what we're actually talking about is moving substantive resources and authority and power to community-controlled Aboriginal organisations in a systematic way over the whole state. So not in terms of pockets of best practice that kind of seem really appealing but don't actually change the distribution of authority or funding in relation to this, and the basic reality of making that change would require enormous and sustained refunding of the Aboriginal community-controlled sector, which has been systematically defunded over the last 20 years, since the end of ATSIC, and genuine resourcing for those organisations to be able to take control of these situations. So defunding and de-authorising police does align with some of the suggestions that others have put forward. However, it requires it to be done in a systematic, widespread way that involves large amounts of funding and a real shift in power.

 PROF. WATEGO: If I can add, I think a lot of Indigenous communities recognise that a police response to what is effectively a social problem does not prevent or treat, solve the issue of family violence in our communities. When you speak to survivors of family violence there are

very tangible things they are seeking in terms of securing their safety, from having the resources to have better security on a rental property, to getting paid leave when they have experienced strangulation and have no leave at their job and are not entitled to crisis payments through Centrelink. Like, there are very practical tangible things that Indigenous women are seeking as victims of violence that extend beyond incarcerating somebody for a short period of time, because we know these men return to our neighbourhoods and our communities often more violent than what they were when they went in because of the violence of incarceration. It doesn't solve the problem. So we share the concern about solving the problem of violence in our community. The challenging part is getting the State to imagine what a non-violent response to violence might look like, and unfortunately it can't see beyond that in terms of its relationship with Indigenous people.

MS O'GORMAN: In explaining that answer then, you, Dr Strakosch, already have referred to Aboriginal community-controlled organisations and your view that there needs to be a far greater funding of them in a systemic way. My final question is whether there is merit in giving consideration to the development of a co-responder model which includes Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community-controlled organisations as part of the model.

DR STRAKOSCH: Yes, and I suppose I did address some of those core questions. Basically our experience, especially Professor Watego's experience, in organising in the community-controlled sector is that there are really important, accountable things happening but that is being done without a great deal of support or funding. So the practices are there, but the community-controlled sector has been - especially since 2014, when Tony Abbott brought in the Indigenous Advancement Strategy, we saw over 30 per cent decrease in funding to the community-controlled sector within a year, and most of that funding went to churches, Anglicare, their big kind of social service arms.

 So, unbeknownst to many people, there has been a massive transformation and a kind of real attack on the community-controlled sector. It's holding on, but when talking about something like a co-responder model when you're talking about community-controlled organisations it has to take account of the situation they have been put in and the fact that many are operating on - they're running

60 different government grants, they're always short-term, there's no sustained funding. Those are the issues that actually need to be addressed to make a meaningful change in something like a co-responder model.

PROF. WATEGO: Our institute is working on a research project funded by the Australian Institute of Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Studies looking at the community-controlled sector here in the state of Queensland and have a strong sense of how structurally underresourced the sector is. I'm sure if you did an audit of community and social services funding here in the state of Queensland, a very small proportion of funding for community and social services goes to Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander community-controlled organisations, and that has been by design, not because of poor Indigenous governance.

There has been a mainstreaming of services. So we no longer support Indigenous models of service delivery, despite the fact that we know in health the Indigenous model of primary health care is an exemplar of best practice in health globally. So it's not that Indigenous communities can't innovate, aren't providing services to our communities, but are structurally underresourced. So while the State seeks to get more police officers you've got Indigenous families and communities carrying the burden of not just their failings but also the subsequent violence that they experience in this process.

So, for instance, Strong Women Talking is one Indigenous community-controlled organisation that is survivor led, victim centred, and they talk about when Indigenous women seek to leave a relationship that they have to counter the violence that they experience through seeking help, from the police through to the social services sector, and the risks of child safety of losing their children having reported an experience of violence. So we've got these very small pockets of Indigenous organisations, not fully resourced, that are trying to navigate the various layers of violence that Indigenous women are experiencing in the course of seeking safety for their families. So when we say de-authorise and defund the police, there are clear ways in which that resourcing could be better spent that actually attends to reducing and addressing violence and safety.

MS O'GORMAN: Thank you for addressing our questions. There may be some further questions now.

2 3 4

5

1

COMMISSIONER: Can I just ask you about that reduction in funding to community organisations. That 30 per cent reduction, when's that from?

6 7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17 DR STRAKOSCH: So Tony Abbott introduced when he became Prime Minister the Indigenous Advancement Strategy, which moved all federal funding for all grants and programs related to Indigenous people into the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet and required all organisations to re-tender simultaneously for those. There have been Senate inquiries into that process. It wasn't handled very well. But what we saw at the other end of it without a great deal of transparency was this massive defunding. There have been efforts to kind of make some changes, but a lot of organisations have folded since then.

18 19 20

COMMISSIONER: That's my next question. organisations had to close as a result?

21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

DR STRAKOSCH: A lot keep going because they have to. Like, they're accountable to their communities, and that's why they're doing what they're doing, and are piecing together funding from all kinds of different places creatively. But some simply have not been able to survive, because it's been attrition as well. That was the last body blow. But since mainstreaming, which became formal policy in 2004 with the end of the self-determination policy era and the end of ATSIC, there has been a steady decrease in the funding of the community-controlled sector.

32 33 34

35

36

37

38

39 40

41

PROF. WATEGO: And this has occurred at both federal and state level. So in terms of, you know, community and social services that are state funded, as a board member of an Indigenous community-controlled organisation in Inala, we were largely volunteer based and have 80 buckets of funding for discrete projects, of which creatively we have to support families but are not directly funded to support Indigenous women who are victims of violence, and this plays out in lots of communities.

42 43 44

When you've got to apply for a lot of COMMISSIONER: different grants, it takes a lot of time too.

45 46 47

PROF. WATEGO: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER: Time you could spend doing other things, I'm sure.

DR STRAKOSCH: It's probably also worth saying that there is a specific regime of acquittal that requires a higher level of reporting from Indigenous corporations and organisations, ORIC, which has been challenged as racially discriminatory, but in - basically on the assumption that Indigenous organisations governance is not as adequate as others requires more intensive reporting from Indigenous organisations. So there are often 30 per cent of time and effort routinely put into reporting and acquitting to government to show that these organisations are functional while they're delivering over and above the money that they're funded for to their communities.

COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. Ms Hillard, do you have questions?

MS HILLARD: I have a few.

<EXAMINATION BY MS HILLARD:</pre>

MS HILLARD: Can I just say that Women's Legal Service Queensland have few Indigenous women clients because when they contact Women's Legal Service they choose to engage with Indigenous organisations. So can I just ask you to bear that in mind when I ask my questions.

One of the things about giving the voice to First Nations women is I think understanding that when we talk about statistics of the experience of women that that is not because their community is violent; is that right?

PROF. WATEGO: Yes.

 MS HILLARD: When we have a look at page 6 of exhibit C01053 at the bottom there you set out some statistics, and you talk about how a First Nations woman is 32 times more likely to be hospitalised due to domestic and family violence; 10 times more likely to die due to an assault; 45 times more likely to experience violence at all; and five times more likely to be killed because of domestic and family violence. In respect of those statistics is that a symptom of what you have described as under-policing a victim and over-policing the woman as a

perpetrator?

1 2 3

MS O'GORMAN: Can I just interrupt very briefly. Mr Operator, would you mind putting this document on the visualiser. The full number is [COI.053.0006].

MS HILLARD: The paragraph right down the bottom. Thank you.

 $\mbox{MS O'GORMAN:} \mbox{ Just in case you need the material in front of you.}$

 PROF. WATEGO: Thank you for the question and I think it's an important point to make, is that a statistical story can be used to reproduce these racialised imaginings of Indigenous people's communities and cultures as inherently violent. I think as Liz pointed out what makes Indigenous women susceptible to violence is the culture of impunity that exists in a settlor colonial context in relation to the care and worth of Indigenous women's lives.

DR STRAKOSCH: So this is a really core issue. It's something that has come up in a number of our kind of expert reports in relation to violence experienced by Indigenous women. People know these statistics. In fact recitation of the statistics of violence that Indigenous women experience is something that the government and state agencies often do and that the media often does.

But there is an implicit assumption that those experiences of violence in one way or another attach to either the culture of Indigenous people, the behaviour of Indigenous people, even in the most progressive formulation the reverberating intergenerational effects of colonialism always locate the harm and the behaviour that leads to that harm in Indigenous people.

What we are talking about here, we say that's an unacceptable reason to justify those kind of statistics. It's a very racist reason to do that. In fact the only way we can understand those is when we understand the series of relationships that are taking place here within which Indigenous people since first colonisation have lived within a structure of violence. It has been an extremely violent experience. It continues to be an extremely violent experience.

a c tha age liv sub

Police - this is not a question of intention; this is a question of structure - have been on the frontline of that violence, and the interactions of all these different agencies have led to a situation in which Indigenous women live in a culture of fear because they know they can be subject to violence and they know they don't have redress, while perpetrators live in a culture of impunity.

PROF. WATEGO: And we also witnessed the contradiction of victimhood. So the statistical story tells the story of Indigenous women as agentless, as victims, yet we know when Indigenous women present as victims they're never treated as such. So we're conscious of the political function which those statistical stories are used to further justify more control over the lives of Indigenous women in this country.

DR STRAKOSCH: We won't sort of recite particular cases which are extremely violent, but there are many cases where Aboriginal women are violently assaulted in great distress in front of police officers and, even with the physical reality of their victimisation in front of them, are arrested or are treated as perpetrators or are ignored.

 MS HILLARD: One of the things that you spoke about in your answer there as well as has emerged in evidence before the Commission is that there is often a fear of a First Nations woman about the interference by the State and the removal of her children and the involvement of the Department of Child Safety. I know the statistics say that a child is likely to be sexual abused or physically abused in a domestic relationship if they're a First Nations child. Do you want to say anything about that and how that can be addressed in order to prevent violence and to protect?

PROF. WATEGO: If I might, with the permission of my sister I would just like to share her experience. She was strangled by a former partner in Ipswich, one of the shitty suburbs I guess that police would refer to. She had the wherewithal to call Triple O in the midst of it so they were aware of what was happening and the severity of this, and her children also were on the phone to Triple O.

 The police presented in the middle of the night. She passed out and managed to escape semi-naked down the street. When the police presented they did not press

charges, despite the severity of the offence, on the basis that in her state of distress she said she just wanted to go to sleep.

They removed the perpetrator but did not press charges. I had to advocate on her behalf. The police subsequently apologised for, I quote, dropping the ball on this one. Yet when she went to make her statement and with her children they asked her to clarify the spelling of her daughter's name for the reason that they couldn't find her on the system. There was a presumption that as a victim of violence that they would be clients of the system.

 Then when she presented to her GP, who was a registrar that wasn't experienced in dealing with trauma, within hours of that assault made comments on her medical file about child safety and "mum's mental health", which raised concerns for her around contact with Child Safety.

Now, that matter was dealt with by the courts just last Friday. She spoke in her victim statement about the failings of police and all of those social services and that it was an additional form of violence because these were the agencies that were meant to care and protect, yet she felt even more threatened through their interactions. In fact it was her family who had to advocate for her. In her victim statement her concern in relation to the perpetrator, who has now since been released having served time, is for him to get better and for there to be a therapeutic response to what took place.

 So these stories, yes, are very real for us in this region of working mothers who are presumed, even in the most severe cases, to not be legitimate victims and then cast under the eye of the State, whether it's Child Safety, at the local GP, and deemed unworthy of care by Queensland Police, who in that instance should have pressed charges regardless of what state she was in given the severity of the crime.

 DR STRAKOSCH: If I could just follow that up because that does lead to something in our submission that we haven't discussed where we talk about the interconnection between violent care and violent control and that the way that care from the State, whether it goes right back to the protection era, the language of that, of missions caring for Indigenous people while they controlled them, right up

to child welfare services have also been an instrument that have interacted with those systems of control in the kind of colonial context. So some of our concern around particular types of justice re-investment that move services from carceral systems like the police to State social service systems is that in the experience of Indigenous people those systems are really interconnected, especially as it comes to family separation.

MS HILLARD: And just picking up on some aspects of your answer and something that Counsel Assisting asked you, I'm gathering from that example that you have just provided which you would see many, many similar kinds of examples that you're really saying that there needs to be a light shone on the perpetrator who is the actual offender and there needs to be preventive actions in place in a culturally appropriate, trauma-informed but also a race appropriate perspective; do you have anything you want to say about that?

PROF. WATEGO: I think it's thinking about how do we take a non-violent approach to dealing with domestic and family violence. Given we know the violence of the State via its various agencies, how do we de-authorise the power that they hold, the violent power that they continue to hold over Indigenous families, and it's witnessed in the incarceration rates, it's witnessed in increasing over-representation of Indigenous children under the Child Safety system, which has proven to be not very safe for our children. So the question is at what point do we start to look at the violent relationships the State has with Indigenous peoples and be as committed to the safety of Indigenous women when it comes to violence perpetrated by the State as well as those by intimate partners.

DR STRAKOSCH: And I suppose just to tie that back to the current process that's ongoing, inquiries have been a regular feature of the Queensland Police Service. So the Queensland Native Mounted Police were subject to four inquiries in the first 10 years of their operation. So what we've seen in Queensland is inquiries often tracking the violence of these organisations, perhaps making adjustments that make them seem more palatable, but not actually, for example in the case of native police, making any substantive changes to the mandate and the resources of those agencies.

So in the context of the current inquiry it's very critical and important work but it's taking place in the state in which there's a massive expansion of policing. Queensland is leading the way in terms of expansion of its police force and the expansion of bail and other laws which are sharply leading to increasing incarceration for Indigenous women specifically, many as a result of breaking domestic and family violence orders that the police have approved of issued in kind of domestic violence situations.

MS HILLARD: One of the things - and this is my last question - that has emerged from different First Nations communities, different First Nations representatives and the like is that they want to be proactively involved in helping the problem and they want to participate in working around whatever the infrastructure is that exists. You've spoken about funding. You've spoken about the need for funding. A witness yesterday spoke about intergenerational plans and funding. Did you want to say anything about that?

PROF. WATEGO: I would just point out that Indigenous families and communities are already involved in responding to domestic and family violence. It is a matter of resourcing and authorising that in a more formal structured way. So I think it's really important. I think there hasn't been an examination of the exemplars of success in terms of Indigenous led models responding to domestic and family violence, thus we can't imagine anything beyond a police response despite the evidence of its violence that we continue to hear about.

MS HILLARD: Thank you, Commissioner. That was my last question.

COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Ms Morris?

 MS MORRIS: Thank you, Commissioner. I would like to, if I may, please, seek a short break to take some instructions.

COMMISSIONER: Yes. That's fine. We'll just adjourn for 15 minutes.

SHORT ADJOURNMENT

COMMISSIONER: Ms Morris?

1 2	MS MORRIS: Thank you, Commissioner. I have no questions.
3	
4 5	MS O'CONNOR: No questions, thank you, Commissioner.
6 7 8 9	MS O'GORMAN: I don't have any further questions and, in the circumstances, might Professor Watego, Dr Singh and Dr Strakosch be excused.
9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER: Dr Strakosch, Professor Watego and Dr Singh, thank you so much for coming in this morning. It's been very informative, and you're free to leave. Thank you very much.
15 16	<the td="" withdrew<="" witnesses=""></the>
17 18 19 20	MS O'GORMAN: Commissioner, there are two further witnesses that we will be able to get through between now and lunch. The first witness is Teressa Tapsell. I call Ms Tapsell.
21 22 23	<teressa sworn:<="" tapsell,="" td=""></teressa>
24 25	<examination by="" ms="" o'gorman:<="" td=""></examination>
26 27 28 29	Q. Ms Tapsell, you have provided a statement to the Commission dated 12 July 2022? A. Yes.
33 34 35 36	Q. All right. Thank you. As I understand it, you are presently the acting senior research officer for the First Nations and Multicultural Affairs Unit within the Communications, Culture and Engagement Division; is that right? A. Yes.
37 38 39 40 41	Q. And your substantive position is as a police liaison officer training officer with recruit training at the Police Academy at Oxley within the People Capability Command? A. That's correct, yes.
12 13 14 15 16	Q. Now, although you've been in the acting role with the FNMAU, is it the case that you have continued to also work as the police liaison officer in your substantive role as well?
17	A. That's correct, yes.